1 2

3

5

4

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

v.

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

E-FILED - 9/16/10

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR

APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL: ORDER

GRANTING PETITIONER'S MÓTION

FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ABEL P. REYES, No. C 10-1838 RMW (PR)

Petitioner,

WARDEN HEADPASS.

Respondent.

(Docket Nos. 15, 16, 22)

TRAVERSE

Petitioner, a California state prisoner, filed a <u>pro</u> se petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Before the court is petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel and extension of time to file his traverse.

Petitioner requests appointment of counsel because he is indigent and cannot afford counsel. However, the Sixth Amendment's right to counsel does not apply in habeas corpus actions. Knaubert v. Goldsmith, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986). While 18 U.S. C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes a district court to appoint counsel to represent a habeas petitioner if "the court determines that the interests of justice so require," the courts have made appointment of counsel the exception rather than the rule. Appointment is mandatory only when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent due process violations. <u>See Chaney v. Lewis</u>, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986).

Petitioner has thus far been able to adequately present his claims for relief.

Respondent has produced the state record, which appears to include petitioner's state appellate briefs as prepared by counsel. No evidentiary hearing appears necessary in this case, nor are any other extraordinary circumstances apparent. Accordingly, the court concludes that appointment of counsel is not necessary at this time. Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (docket no. 15) is DENIED without prejudice.

Petitioner's application for extension of time in which to file his traverse (docket no. 16) is GRANTED. The motion is deemed filed. Petitioner shall file his traverse on or before **thirty (30) days** from the date this order is signed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/16/10

Konald M. Whyte RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge